

Message

---

**From:** Dourson, Michael [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BB29BF491D9A4C3AB569022BCD205A0A-DOURSON, MI]  
**Sent:** 11/30/2017 11:49:40 PM  
**To:** Hanley, Mary [Hanley.Mary@epa.gov]; Beck, Nancy [beck.nancy@epa.gov]; Bertrand, Charlotte [Bertrand.Charlotte@epa.gov]  
**Subject:** RE: Use this Version: Draft Response to SEPW Minority Letter and QFRs  
**Attachments:** MDmdFINAL Dourson QFRs 11.14.2017.MH.CB.docx (003).docx; mdDourson Response to SEPW Minority Letter.draft 11.05.17.MCH.docx

Mary

Thanks for keeping this on the burner. I have tweaked the version trying to mollify several of the statements. See what you all think.

Michael

---

**From:** Hanley, Mary  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 30, 2017 10:53 AM  
**To:** Beck, Nancy <Beck.Nancy@epa.gov>; Bertrand, Charlotte <Bertrand.Charlotte@epa.gov>; Dourson, Michael <dourson.michael@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** FW: Use this Version: Draft Response to SEPW Minority Letter and QFRs  
**Importance:** High

Hello, the latest version of the letter is attached. Mike there are some comments for your attention. I also added the Bodine letter which OCIR suggests be the model. Let me know if my revisions go far enough to avoid plagiarism concerns per Nancy's earlier comment. There are some sentences that are the same but I think are general administration points (e.g. the need to have EPA AAs confirmed).

Cheers

M

---

**From:** Hanley, Mary  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 29, 2017 5:29 PM  
**To:** Beck, Nancy <[beck.nancy@epa.gov](mailto:beck.nancy@epa.gov)>; Bertrand, Charlotte <[Bertrand.Charlotte@epa.gov](mailto:Bertrand.Charlotte@epa.gov)>; Michael Dourson (<[dourson.michael@epa.gov](mailto:dourson.michael@epa.gov)> <[dourson.michael@epa.gov](mailto:dourson.michael@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** Use this Version: Draft Response to SEPW Minority Letter and QFRs  
**Importance:** High

Nancy, Charlotte, Mike:

Please use the QFR version attached for further editing. It is substantively the same as the last version Nancy has (with Charlotte's comments) but I have corrected some formatting problems.

Also, please review and comment on the response to the letter attached. I don't think I received edits on it yet.

In addition, I have included Mike's initial draft responses to the incoming letter. Note that since that time OCIR has advised us not to try to respond to the comments on page 3 and to instead to respond to the specific questions. Let me know of any questions.

Cheers

M